Appln. No.: 09/986,778

Amendment dated September 6, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The final Office Action of July 5, 2007, has been carefully reviewed and these remarks

are responsive thereto. Claims 6, 19, and 32 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but were deemed to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Independent claim 1 has been amended

to include the language of claim 6, independent claim 14 has been amended to include the

language of claim 19, and independent claim 29 has been amended to include the language of

claim 32, and claims 6, 19, and 32 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

In the final Office Action mailed July 5, 2007, claims 1-4, 9-11, 29 and 34 were rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), as being anticipated by Willars et al (2003/0013443). As noted above,

independent claim 1 has been amended to include the language of claim 6, and independent

claim 29 has been amended to include the language of claim 32. Thus, it is respectfully

submitted that claims 1-4, 9-11, 29 and 34 are now in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 5 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Willars in view of Chambert (U.S. Patent No. 5,499,387).

Claims 7, 8, 30 and 33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Willars in view of Kennedy, III et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,966,658)

Claims 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Willars in view of Igarashi (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2001/0053694).

Claims 14-17, 22-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Willars in view of Funato (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0087646).

Claim 18 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the

combination of Willars and Funato in view of Chambert.

9

Appln. No.: 09/986,778

Amendment dated September 6, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2007

Claims 20 and 21 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the

combination of Willars and Funato in view of Kennedy.

Claims 27 and 28 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the

combination of Willars and Funato in view of Igarashi.

As noted above, independent claim 1 has been amended to include the language of claim

6, independent claim 14 has been amended to include the language of claim 19, and independent

claim 29 has been amended to include the language of claim 32. Thus, it is respectfully

submitted that the § 103(a) rejections of the above claims have been overcome.

CONCLUSION

All rejections having been addressed, Applicants respectfully submit that the instant

application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests prompt notification of the

same. If there are any questions, the examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned

representative at the number noted below.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: September 6, 2007

By:

Robert H. Resis

Registration No. 32,168

Direct Dial: (312) 463-5405

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 10 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 3000

Chicago, IL 60606-7407

Tel:

(312) 463-5000

Fax:

(312) 463-5001

10